# **REMARKS**

# **Claim Status**

Claims 1, 2, 6-16, 20, and 21 are pending.

Claim 3-5, 17-19, and 22-25 are cancelled.

Claims 26 – 35 are newly added.

Claims 1, 2, 6-16, and 20-25 stand rejected.

Claims 3-5, 17-19, and 24 and 25 stand objected to.

### Amendment to Abstract and Claims

No new matter is added. Amendment to the abstract is supported for example in applicant's originally filed application in FIG. 1C and accompanying text. Amendments to the claims are supported for example in the claims as originally filed and in FIGs. 1C, 1D, 2, and 3 and accompanying text.

Amendments to claims were made to improve clarity and correct typographical errors. New Claims 26-35 are supported for example in FIGs. 1C, 1D, 2, and 3 and accompanying text.

#### **Objections**

In the Office Action, the abstract was objected to for not containing a concise statement of the technical disclosure. The abstract has been amended to provide a concise statement of some embodiments of the technical disclosure. Withdrawal of the objection to the abstract is requested.

In the Office Action, the drawings were objected to for not showing every feature of the invention specified in claims 3-5 and 17-19. Claims 3-5 and 17-19 are deleted. However, FIG. 2 has been amended to include examples of elements claimed in new claims 26-31 but the claims are not limited by the example of FIG. 2. Withdrawal of objection to the drawings is requested.

In the Office Action, Claims 3-5, 17-19, 24, and 25 were objected to for containing informalities. Claims 3-5, 17-19, 24, and 25 are cancelled and the objection is moot.

## Telephone Conference

On April 22, 2005, the Examiner and applicant's attorney discussed the independent claims. The Examiner indicated likely allowability of Claim 1 in a manner substantially similar to that provided herein over teachings and suggestions of Cern (U.S. Patent no. 5,815,298) ("Cern") and Girardeau, Jr. (U.S. Patent no. 6,535,567) ("Girardeau, Jr."). Specifically, the Examiner indicated that addition of language similar to "wherein the second transmitter is to reduce jitter in the test signal based on clock signals provided by a plurality of clock sources" to claim 1 would present claim 1 in a manner allowable over the teachings and suggestions of either or both of Cern and Girardeau, Jr.

Either or both of the Cern and Girardeau, Jr. references are cited in rejection of all claims.

Claims 2, 6-16, 20 and 21 depend from allowable Claim 1 and thus are allowable for at least the same reasons as pertain to Claim 1.

New Claims 26-31 depend from allowable Claim 1 and thus are allowable for at least the same reasons as pertain to allowable Claim 1.

New Claim 32 includes some similar language as recited in Claim 1, namely "at a second transceiver ... reducing jitter in the first signal, wherein the reducing jitter includes using clock signals from a plurality of clock sources". New Claim 32 is allowable for at least the same reason as pertain to allowable Claim 1. New Claims 33-35 depend from new Claim 32 and thus are allowable for at least the same reasons as pertain to allowable Claim 32.

Accordingly, because all pending claims are allowable (namely, Claims 1, 2, 6-16, 20, 21, and 26-35), applicant respectfully requests that all claims be allowed. If the Examiner has any questions concerning this application, please call the applicants' attorney, Glen Choi, at (212) 661-5488.

If there are any charges due, please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: 4/29/05

John Patrick Ward Reg. No. 40,216

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030 (408) 720-8300

# **AMENDMENT TO DRAWINGS**

Please replace the original drawing sheet that includes FIG. 2 with the attached Replacement Drawing Sheet. Additional labels have been added to FIG. 2 to show features of the invention specified in the claims, as requested by the Examiner. No new matter has been added.